



ELSEVIER

Linear Algebra and its Applications 350 (2002) 273–278

**LINEAR ALGEBRA
AND ITS
APPLICATIONS**

www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

A new proof of Mayer's theorem[☆]

Chin-Tzong Pang^a, Yung-Yih Lur^b, Sy-Ming Guu^{a,*}

^a*Department of Information Management, Yuan Ze University, Taoyuan, Taiwan 320, ROC*

^b*Department of Industrial Management, Van Nung Institute of Technology, Taoyuan, Taiwan 320, ROC*

Received 23 November 2001; accepted 5 February 2002

Submitted by L. Elsner

Abstract

This paper gives a new proof of Mayer's theorem concerning the convergence of powers of an interval matrix. © 2002 Elsevier Science Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Interval matrices; Convergence of powers of an interval matrix

1. Introduction

In 1984, Mayer [2] studied the conditions for the sequence $\{\mathcal{A}^l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of the powers of an $n \times n$ interval matrix \mathcal{A} to converge to the null matrix 0. The remarkable result proposed by Mayer states that $\mathcal{A}^l \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) < 1$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a point matrix constructed by the (*) property. Mayer established this theorem by using the Perron–Frobenius theorem. In this paper, we give an alternative proof using decomposition method. For easy reference, we follow the notations in [2].

2. A new proof for Mayer's theorem

Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ (real) interval matrix, that is, each A_{ij} is a real compact interval $[\underline{a}_{ij}, \bar{a}_{ij}]$, where $\underline{a}_{ij} \leq \bar{a}_{ij}$. \mathcal{A}_j denotes the j th column of \mathcal{A} . For

[☆] Research was supported by NSC89-2745-P-155-003, ROC.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: iesmguu@saturn.yzu.edu.tw (S.-M. Guu), imctpang@saturn.yzu.edu.tw (C.-T. Pang), yylur@vit.edu.tw (Y.-Y. Lur)

$\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})$, $\mathcal{B} = (B_{ij})$, the interval matrix addition and product are formally defined by

$$\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B} = (A_{ij} + B_{ij}),$$

$$\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \left(\sum_s A_{is} B_{sj} \right),$$

respectively, where the binary operations on the set of intervals have their usual meanings (see [1]). The powers \mathcal{A}^k of \mathcal{A} are defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^k = (\mathcal{A}^{k-1}) \cdot \mathcal{A}, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots$$

As noted by Mayer, the product of interval matrices is not associative in general. Therefore, $(\mathcal{A}^{k-1}) \cdot \mathcal{A}$ may not equal to $\mathcal{A} \cdot (\mathcal{A}^{k-1})$.

For a real interval $[\underline{a}, \bar{a}]$, the width $d([\underline{a}, \bar{a}])$ and the absolute value $|[\underline{a}, \bar{a}]|$ are defined by

$$d[\underline{a}, \bar{a}] = \bar{a} - \underline{a}, \quad |[\underline{a}, \bar{a}]| = \max\{|\underline{a}|, |\bar{a}|\},$$

respectively. For an $n \times n$ interval matrix \mathcal{A} , we define the real matrices

$$d(\mathcal{A}) = (d(A_{ij})) \quad \text{and} \quad |\mathcal{A}| = (|A_{ij}|).$$

On the set $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ of real $n \times n$ matrices (a_{ij}) we introduce the usual partial ordering \leq by defining $(a_{ij}) \leq (b_{ij})$ iff $a_{ij} \leq b_{ij}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

The following important properties of $d(\mathcal{A})$ and $|\mathcal{A}|$ can be found in [2]:

$$d(\mathcal{A}) \geq 0, \quad |\mathcal{A}| \geq 0,$$

$$d(\mathcal{A}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} \text{ is a point matrix,}$$

$$|\mathcal{A}| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A} = 0,$$

$$d(\mathcal{A} \pm \mathcal{B}) = d(\mathcal{A}) + d(\mathcal{B}),$$

$$|\mathcal{A} \pm \mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}|,$$

$$d(\mathcal{A})|\mathcal{B}| \leq d(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}) \leq d(\mathcal{A})|\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{A}|d(\mathcal{B}),$$

$$|\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}| \leq |\mathcal{A}||\mathcal{B}|,$$

$$|\mathcal{A}^k| \leq |\mathcal{A}|^k.$$

Definition 1 [2]. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ interval matrix. We say that the j th column of \mathcal{A} has property (*) if there exists a power \mathcal{A}^m containing in the same j th column at least one interval not degenerated to a point interval.

Definition 2 [2]. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ interval matrix. Construct the real matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = (a_{ij})$ by

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} |A_{ij}| & \text{if the } j\text{th column of } \mathcal{A} \text{ has property } (*), \\ A_{ij} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1 [2]. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ interval matrix. If the sequence $\{\mathcal{A}^l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to the zero matrix and the j th column of \mathcal{A} has property $(*)$, then the j th row of the sequence $\{|\mathcal{A}^l| : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to the zero vector 0^T .

Lemma 2. Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ interval matrix. If there exists a nonempty index subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_j \text{ does not have property } (*) \quad \forall j \in I, \\ \mathcal{A}_j \text{ has property } (*) \quad \forall j \in I^c, \end{cases}$$

where $|I| = k < n$ and $I^c := \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus I$. Then there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{(2)} & \mathcal{A}_{(3)} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A}_{(1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{(2)}$ is a $k \times k$ point matrix and each column located in $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{(3)} \\ \mathcal{A}_{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$ has property $(*)$.

Proof. Choose a permutation matrix P so that

$$\begin{cases} (P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P)_j \text{ does not have property } (*) \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ (P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P)_j \text{ has property } (*) \quad \forall j = k+1, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

We now demonstrate that each rs entry of $P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P$ satisfies

$$(P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P)_{rs} = 0 \quad \text{for } k+1 \leq r \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq s \leq k.$$

Suppose to the contrary that

$$(P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P)_{rs} \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } k+1 \leq r \leq n \text{ and some } 1 \leq s \leq k.$$

Since the r th column of $P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P$ has property $(*)$, there exists a positive integer m such that the width

$$d(P^{-1}\mathcal{A}^m P)_{\alpha r} \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq \alpha \leq n.$$

Hence

$$d(P^{-1}\mathcal{A}^{m+1} P)_{\alpha s} = d[(P^{-1}\mathcal{A}^m P)(P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P)]_{\alpha s} \neq 0.$$

Hence, the s th column of $P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P$ has property (*), a contradiction. Therefore $P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P$ can be represented in the block interval matrix form as

$$P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{(2)} & \mathcal{A}_{(3)} \\ 0 & \mathcal{A}_{(1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{(2)}$ is a $k \times k$ point matrix and each column located in $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{(3)} \\ \mathcal{A}_{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$ has property (*). \square

Prior to Mayer's theorem, we recall some well-known results for an $n \times n$ complex matrix A (see, for example, [3, pp. 12, 73]). $A^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ iff $\rho(A) < 1$. Furthermore, $A^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ iff there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{C}^n such that $\|A\| < 1$.

We are ready to provide a new proof for Mayer's theorem concerning the convergence of powers of an interval matrix, to which we now turn.

Theorem 1 [2]. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ interval matrix. Then the sequence $\{\mathcal{A}^l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to 0 if and only if $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) < 1$.*

Proof. We distinguish three cases:

Case 1. If all columns of \mathcal{A} do not have property (*), then \mathcal{A} is a point matrix and $\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{if and only if } \rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) < 1.$$

Case 2. If each column of \mathcal{A} has property (*), then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = |\mathcal{A}|$. For $l = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$|\mathcal{A}^l| \leq |\mathcal{A}|^l = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^l.$$

" \Rightarrow " Assume $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}^l = 0$. By Lemma 1 we have $|\mathcal{A}|^l \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) = \rho(|\mathcal{A}|) < 1$.

" \Leftarrow " Assume $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) < 1$. Then $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) = \rho(|\mathcal{A}|) < 1$. It follows that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{A}|^l = 0$. Since $|\mathcal{A}^k| \leq |\mathcal{A}|^k$ for each k , we then have $\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Case 3. If there exists a nonempty index subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_j \text{ does not have property (*) } \forall j \in I, \\ \mathcal{A}_j \text{ has property (*) } \forall j \in I^c, \end{cases}$$

where $|I| = k < n$. By Lemma 2 there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{A}_{(1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{A}_{(3)} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here all columns of $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(2)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ do not have property (*) while each column located in $\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(3)} \\ \mathcal{A}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$ has property (*). Thus

$$(P^{-1} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} P) = P^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} P = \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} + \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}},$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{(2)} & 0 \\ 0 & |\mathcal{A}^{(1)}| \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\mathcal{A}^{(3)}| \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

“ \Leftarrow ” Assume that $\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) < 1$. Then $\rho(P^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} P) < 1$. It follows that there exists a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\|P^{-1} \tilde{\mathcal{A}} P\| < 1$. For¹ $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1} \mathcal{A}^k P &= \underbrace{P^{-1} \mathcal{A} P \cdots P^{-1} \mathcal{A} P}_{k\text{-times}} \\ &= \underbrace{[\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}] \cdots [\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} + \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}]}_{k\text{-times}} \\ &= \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}_{k\text{-matrices}} + \cdots + \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}}_{k\text{-matrices}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the terms which contain at least two nilpotent factors must be zero. Thus

$$P^{-1} \mathcal{A}^k P = (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}})^k + \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^1 + \cdots + \Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^k,$$

where $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^i$ denotes

$$\underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}_{(i-1)\text{-matrices}} \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}_{(k-i)\text{-matrices}}$$

with $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$ appearing in the i th place of the product. Let $\|\cdot\|_F$ denote the Frobenius norm.

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P^{-1} \mathcal{A}^k P\|_F \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}})^k\|_F + \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}^i| \right) \right\|_F \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}})^k\|_F + \sum_{i=1}^k \left\| \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}_{(i-1)\text{-times}} \right\|_F \|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}\|_F \left\| \underbrace{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}}_{(k-i)\text{-times}} \right\|_F \\ &\leq \|(\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}})^k\|_F + \sum_{i=1}^k \|\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\|_F \|\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\|_F \|\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}} \cdots \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\|_F \end{aligned}$$

¹ To ease our notation, we omit the parentheses in products of interval matrices. Namely, $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C}$, $\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B} \cdot \mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{D}$, etc., instead of $(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}$, $((\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{D}$, etc.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)^k\|_F + \sum_{i=1}^k \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P) \cdots (P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)\|_F \\
&\quad \times \|\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\|_F \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P) \cdots (P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)\|_F \\
&\leq c_0 \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)^k\| + c_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^k \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P) \cdots (P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)\| \\
&\quad \times \|\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\|_F \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P) \cdots (P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)\| \\
&\leq c_0 \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)\|^k + c_0^2 k \|\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}}\|_F \|(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P)\|^{k-1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant c_0 occurs because of changing norms. Hence $\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

“ \Rightarrow ” Assume $\mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$P^{-1}\mathcal{A}^k P = \underbrace{P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P \cdots P^{-1}\mathcal{A}P}_{k\text{-times}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}_{(2)}^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where $\mathcal{A}_{(2)}^k$ is defined analogously to $\mathcal{A}_{(2)}$. By Lemma 1 we have $|\mathcal{A}_{(1)}|^k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$\rho(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}) = \rho(P^{-1}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}P) = \max\{\rho(\mathcal{A}_{(2)}), \rho(|\mathcal{A}_{(1)}|)\} < 1.$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] G. Alefeld, J. Herzberger, Introduction to Interval Computations, Academic Press, New York, 1983.
- [2] G. Mayer, On the convergence of powers of interval matrices, Linear Algebra Appl. 58 (1984) 201–216.
- [3] R.S. Varga, Matrix Iterative Analysis, Springer, Berlin, 2000.